IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(***Special Original Jurisdiction***)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 8528 of 2005

Between:

K. Raja Babu, S/o. Soma Raju,
R/o. A. Annavaram Village, Sankavaram Mandal, E.G.District.

..... PETITIONER

A N D

1 The Commissioner of Endowments, Govt of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari - Devasthanam,

Annavaram, E.G.district, rep. by its Executive Officer.

..... RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned action of the respondents in not considering the petitioner for extension of lease in respect of Shop No.1 of Shopping complex, Circular Mandapam, Annavaram Hills under the 2nd respondent temple on enhancement of rent in spite of representations made by the petitioner dt.31.1.2005 and 6.4.2005 is highly illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art.14 of the Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.V.SHIVAJI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GOVT.PLEADER FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr.E.Sambasivapratap, SC for

SVVSSV Devastanam, Annavaram,

East Godavari district.

***The Court at the stage of admission made the following*: (order follows 2nd page)**

O R D E R :

This writ petition is filed to declare the impugned action of the respondents in not considering the petitioner for extension of lease in respect of Shop No.1 of Shopping complex, Circular Mandapam, Annavaram Hills under the 2nd respondent temple on enhancement of rent in spite of representations made by the petitioner dt.31.1.2005 and 6.4.2005 as highly illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art.14 of the Constitution of India.

When the writ petition is listed today under the caption 'for admission', the learned Govt.Pleader for Endowments submitted that prior to the representations made by the petitioner, the respondents have given wide publicity in the Telugu daily news paper "*Praja Shakti*", with regard to the lease of shop no.1 of Shopping Complex, Annavaram Hills and therefore, the question of considering the representations made by the petitioner on 31-1-2005 and 06-4-2005 could not and would not arise.

After hearing the learned Govt.Pleader for Endowments, I do not see any merit in this writ petition, as the respondents have given wide publicity in the "Praja Shakti" Telugu daily with regard to the shop for which the petitioner is seeking extension of lease on enhancement of rent, therefore, the writ petition fails and is liable to be dismissed.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

APRIL27th, 2005. ----------

I S L. ELIPE DHARMA RAO, J.

To

1 The Commissioner of Endowments, Govt of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The Executive Officer, Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari

Devasthanam, Annavaram, E.G.District.

3 One CC to Govt.Pleader for Endowments, High Court

Buildings, High Court of AP Hyderabad (OUT).

4 Two CD Copies.